Alternative Formulation (17.6.1)

Mutual Independence (17.6.3)

A philosophical digression

Probability and Independence

Robert Y. Lewis

CS 0220 2024

April 8, 2024

Mutual Independence (17.6.3) 00 A philosophical digression

Overview

- 1 Independence (17.7)
- 2 Alternative Formulation (17.6.1)
- 3 Mutual Independence (17.6.3)
- 4 A philosophical digression

Mutual Independence (17.6.3)

A philosophical digression

Probability refresher

A *sample space* is a set of possible *outcomes*. An *event* is a subset of the sample space. A *probability function* assigns each outcome a probability between 0 and 1.

Mutual Independence (17.6.3)

A philosophical digression

Conditional probability

Definition: The conditional probability of event A given event B (with Pr[B] > 0) is:

$$\Pr[A|B] = rac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}.$$

Conceptually, if we limit ourselves to the outcomes in B, how likely is an outcome in A?

Example:

- *A*: Die shows a number divisible by 3. Pr[*A*] = 1/3. (3 and 6 from the six possibilities.)
- *B*: Die shows an odd number. Pr[B] = 1/2.
- What does $Pr[A \cap B]$ mean? Die shows an odd number divisible by 3. $Pr[A \cap B] = 1/6$ (only 3).
- What does Pr[A|B] mean? Die shows a number divisible by 3 given that it's odd. Pr[A|B] = 1/3 (probability of picking 3 from 1, 3, 5). Also, $\frac{1}{6}/\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6} \times 2 = \frac{1}{3}$.

Mutual Independence (17.6.3) 00 A philosophical digression

Independence

Definition: Event A is independent of event B iff

Pr[A|B] = Pr[A].

If Pr[B] = 0, we say it is independent of any other event including itself.

Example:

- A: Die shows the maximum or minimum number. Pr[A] = 1/3. (1, 6 from the six possibilities.)
- *B*: Die shows an odd number. Pr[B] = 1/2.
- Pr[A|B] = 1/3. (1 from 1,3,5.) So, A and B are independent.
- C: Die shows an even number. Pr[C] = 1/2.
- Are *B* and *C* independent? No, $Pr[C|B] = 0 \neq Pr[C]$. Common misconception. Independent does not mean disjoint.

Alternative Formulation (17.6.1)

Mutual Independence (17.6.3)

A philosophical digression

Independent events multiply

Theorem: A is independent of B iff

 $\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \cdot \Pr[B].$

Proof: By cases.

- Case 1: If Pr[A] = 0 or Pr[B] = 0, then $Pr[A \cap B] = 0$. Equality and independence are both achieved.
- Case 2: Otherwise,

 $\begin{array}{ll} A \text{ is independent of } B \iff \Pr[A|B] = \Pr[A] \text{ (def. independence)} \\ \iff \Pr[A \cap B] / \Pr[B] = \Pr[A] \text{ (def. cond. prob.)} \\ \iff \Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \cdot \Pr[B] \text{ (multiplying across)} \end{array}$

Mutual Independence (17.6.3)

A philosophical digression

Independent coin flips

I flip a fair coin twice.

- *A*: first flip is heads.
- *B*: second flip is heads.

Independent events?

Mutual Independence (17.6.3) 00 A philosophical digression

Hash collisions

I have a perfect hash function and two pieces of data *a* and *b* to insert into a hashtable.

- *A*: *a* has a hash collision.
- *B*: *b* has a hash collision.

Independent events?

Independence: "knowledge about one event does not give us knowledge about another."

Mutual Independence (17.6.3) ●○ A philosophical digression

Mutual Independence

Definition: A set of events $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$ is *mutually independent* iff for all subsets $S \subseteq [1, n]$, $\Pr\left[\bigcap_{j \in S} E_j\right] = \prod_{j \in S} \Pr[E_j].$

Example: If we toss *n* fair coins, the tosses are mutually independent iff for every subset of *m* coins, the probability that every coin in the subset comes up heads is 2^{-m} .

Pairwise independence isn't mutual independence

If *A* is independent of *B* and *C*, and *B* and *C* are independent of each other, how could *A*, *B*, and *C* not be independent??

Example: Jania, Tyler, Carmen each pick a bit 0/1 uniformly at random.

- A: Jania + Tyler \equiv 1 (mod 2)
- $\blacksquare B: Tyler + Carmen \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$
- C: Jania + Carmen \equiv 1 (mod 2)

Claim 1: These events are all pairwise independent.

For example, $\Pr[A] = 1/2$. $\Pr[A|B] = \frac{1}{4}/\frac{1}{2} = 1/2$.

Claim 2: These events are not mutually independent.

 $\Pr[A \cap B \cap C] = 0. \text{ Not } 1/8!$

k-wise does not imply (k + 1)-wise mutual independence.

Mutual Independence (17.6.3) oo A philosophical digression ●○

What are we even measuring?

What does it mean to say:

- The probability that a sequence of 5 coin flips will be all heads is 1/32?
- The probability that it will rain tomorrow is .8?
- The probability that $2^{6972607} 1$ is a prime number is...?

Two interpretations: *frequentist* vs *Bayesian*.

Mutual Independence (17.6.3)

A philosophical digression ○●

Frequentism vs Bayesianism

The frequentist: probability statements only apply to *repeatable* events. Coin flip example makes sense: if we repeatedly sample from the sample space, the "all heads" event will happen in about 1/32 of the samples. Rain question is meaningless. Prime number question is either 0 or 1.

The Bayesian: probability statements describe a subjective *belief*/level of confidence. I'd take either side of a bet with 1:32 odds on the coin flip sequence. I think it's four times more likely to rain tomorrow than not. There's an objective answer to the prime number question, but based on my current information/computation ability, ...

Mathematical probability: tries to be agnostic between these two interpretations.